

**From:** Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US

**Sent:** 6/29/2012 12:36:16 PM

**To:** John Bourbon/R2/USEPA/US@EPA; Richard Bauer/R9/USEPA/US@EPA; Rick McMillin/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

**CC:**

**Subject:** Question: Data Review Process used for R3 SF Project

John, Rich and Rick,

I am assisting OSCs with writing a technical summary on the data review process used for the R3 Project, for which you provided support.

Here is a table from that document and of course we are trying to capture the regional lab-generated data and how it is reviewed internally and the levels of review completed by each lab is complimentary to data validation except for "external" review.

Does anyone have any issues with what is included in this table? This was reviewed by HQ Environmental Unit and HQ understands the process we used. The main audience for this document will be the public - however, it is being written for those individuals who have technical knowledge of methods and data review.

I'm mainly asking about the footnote since that is what describes our process. If you do have issues please feel free to call me to discuss. However, if you have an alternative sentence or two you could send that by email.

I've also attached the entire document but please note that it is still undergoing revisions (comments in the document are from HQ, which I'm now trying to address).

Cindy